App. F. IX-A.

1925.

Previous references. (mune)

MC 28-25: 8 48, 52, 59
L 81

Later references.

MC 28-25: 8 67, 77, 80 L 81 a

File No. 28-25

Serial Nos. 60 & 76

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for June 26

SUBJECT

Conveyance allowance to the Sub overseers at present working on the Cooly Improvement works Bangalore City

76 The Municipal Comm^r. B. City, through the President, B. City mune Council & the Comptroller No. L 7755-6/ d 30.6.26.

Sanctions the allowance of Rs 15/- to the present men working in the City improvement works and requests the President mune Council to Consider the desirability of reducing the allowance to Rs 7-8-0 to the future in Cumbrances.

J.B. 7.10.26.

44368

No. 160

OFFICE OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,

Bangalore City, Dated.....23rd.....April.....192 6.

GENERAL & SECRETARIAT
29 APR. 1926
LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

From

B. T. KESAVAIENGAR, Esq., B. A.,
Offg. MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER, MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
BANGALORE CITY.

REV. SECTT.
Br. L
26 APR. 1926

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments,
B a n g a l o r e.

S i r,

I have the honor to state that during the audit of the --- accounts of the City Improvement works of the Bangalore City -- Municipality, the auditor brought to the notice of the Comptroller that the Conveyance allowances of Rs 30/- and 15/- respectively sanctioned to the Overseer and the Sub Overseers attached to the City Improvement Division was being drawn and disbursed to them on their furnishing a certificate of the maintenance of a bicycle only, on the monthly establishment pay bills, while -- the maximum allowance admissible in such cases is only Rs 7-8-0, under appendix 'G' to Mysore Service Regulation. The payment of these allowances was placed under objection by the Comptroller who requested that the disbursement of the allowances should be kept in abeyance pending definite orders of Government as regards the excess drawn in the past as well as regards the rate at which it should be allowed in future. In my letter No. 2617 dated 6th February 1926, I pointed out to the Comptroller that a conveyance allowance of Rs 15/- was being disbursed to the Sub Overseers in accordance with Government Order No. 818-20/Ml. 161-21-5 dated 27th July 1922 and that the question of the nature of conveyance maintained was not taken into account at all. I further pointed out to the Comptroller that considering the arduous nature of --

the

the work and the large area to be covered by the D.P.W. Su
nates, which caused rapid wear and tear of the bicycles,
lowances sanctioned by the Government in the Government O
referred to may be allowed. The Comptroller in reply has s
that specific orders of Government may be obtained in the
As the matter involved a question of principle, the subject
placed before the City Municipal Council at the meeting held
27th March 1926 and the Council have resolved that Governme
be moved to sanction the continuance of the allowance of Rs
all along drawn by the Sub Overseers notwithstanding the fact
maintain only cycles. In submitting the resolution of the
cil for favour of very early sanction by the Government, I hav
bring to your kind notice the fact that it is practically i
ble for the poor subordinates of the Executive Branch employed
the City to maintain any vehicle other than a cycle on an allo
ance of Rs 15/-, which will have to cover the capital cost of
machines as well as the up-keep. Should there be any technical
jection to granting this enhanced rate of conveyance allowance,
would suggest the allowance being treated as a City allowance.
request therefore that very early orders of the Government may
obtained and communicated.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Offg. Municipal Commissioner.

Forwarded with compliments,

Honorary President.

SM

WD 01264—GPB—40,000 –17-12-25 3

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 28 of 192

Serial No

The recommendation of the Council as at A of the Hill Commissioner's letter should be that the allowance as a City allowance may perhaps be sanctioned Hill Tracts Regulation Committee.

2 Hill) 18/5/26.

2nd Vice 14-5.

3 Hill.) 20/5/26.

CHANCERY SECRETARIAT 3-56 P.M. 14 MAY 1926

4 Submitted with an Extract to show the Hill Tracts opinion recorded in the case of grant of a Caple allowance of Rs 10 to the Revenue Inspector – Rangamati Municipal Council

Hill 19/5/26

5 There is nothing remarkable added to the opinion extracted below

A

L.S. 4-Sept

6 While recognising the principle of allowing local bodies to have latitude in regard to the grant of reasonable allowances to their servants, Mr Hill very rightly draws attention to the desirability of reducing the rate of the Caple allowance to Rs 7-8-0. The Hill Tracts Committee have recommended the drawing of this allowance as has been the practice in the

Note—Cousin Sheet

Caple allowance Rangamati Municipality.

Serial No

or to treat this as City-allowance. In case the former recommendation is to be sanctioned, they will have to be asked to arrange for recovering the amount in future.

2. To avoid difficulties, this amount so far drawn may be allowed and the allowance treated as a special allowance for working in the Bangalore City-Limits.

(Sd) [illegible]
29/5/26.

Q The papers relate to the grant of cycle allowance of Rs 15 to Sub-overseers in Bangalore Town Council.

Formerly one conveyance allowance of Rs 20 & Rs 15 respectively was allowed & disbursed to them & on the strength a certificate to the effect that they were maintaining a cycle each.

The Comptroller has objected to this procedure on the ground that only Rs 7-8-0 should be allowed as cycle allowance.

The Town Council has resolved to give Rs 15 as allowance to Sub-overseers for keeping a cycle on

The Secretary wants to point out the undesirability to allow [illegible]

NOTE—Contd. Sheet

Serial No

Rs what Govt allows But he agrees with the claim that it would be unwise & inexpedient to interfere with the decision of Municl Council's power to give what extra allowance it considers reasonable. (vide 7(1))

OFFICE
2 MAY 1925
8.569

(2) In the present case the recommendation of the Municl Council to give Rs 15 as allowance may be sanctioned as a special case for the reasons explained in the Commissioner's letter, C.P.n. 87, especially when it has been the practice to allow Rs 15 from a long time owing to the arduous nature of their duties.

Sd 22/5

Sd 23/5

Sd 25/5.

Sd 31.5.25

Sd 3/6

Sd 5/6

Sd 5/6

Sd 5

Subject. Grant of a Cycle allowance of Rs 10 to 6 Hon Revenue Inspector – Shimoga Municipal Council

2nd Members Minute

8/5/1926

Please ask Financial Secretary's opinion again. I certainly imagine that a municipal council should have power to give what cycle allowance it considers reasonable to its servants. It would be unwise and inexpedient to interfere unless the proposal is extravagant which is not the case here. (Sd) K.C. 8/5/26

The Secretary's opinion I agree in the above view. At the same time it is undesirable that the rate allowed by municipal councils should be more than what Government allows. The desirability of reducing the rate to Rs. 7-8-0 may be pointed out to the Council. Rs. 7-8-0 is a sufficient allowance for keeping a bicycle. In Madras the rate given is Rs. 5 only Rs. 5.

(Sd) M N K 13/5/26

7

NO. 61.

PROCEEDINGS OF AN ADJOURNED GENERAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE, HELD ON SATURDAY THE 27TH MARCH 1926 AT 9.15 A.M. IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER.

Encl 16
ME 28-25-60

PRESENT.

Mahamed Abbas Khan, Esq., (Honorary President.)

COUNCILLORS.

1. Mr. V. Krishnaiengar,
2. " N. Hanumanthappa,
3. " G. Muniyappa,
4. " Salur Srinivasaiengar, B.A., B.L.,
5. " K. Bhadrappa,
6. " S.M.M. Rasvi,
7. " G. Parmeshwaraiah, B.A., LL.B.,
8. " K.C. Anjaneyulu,
9. " C.A. Muthanappa,
10. " G. Siddaveerappa,
11. " B.L. Byrana,
12. " G.B. Raghavendrachar, B.A.,
13. Mr. R. Gopaleswami Iyer,
14. " C.N. Narasinga Rao, B.A., B.L.,
15. " S.B. Sitharama Rao,
16. " C. Hayavadana Rao, B.A., B.L.,
17. " S. Venkataranga Iyengar,
18. " M.V. Kalyanpur,
19. " P. Subbarama Chetty,
20. " D. Venkataramaiya, M.A., L.T.,
21. " B.N. Vijayadeva, B.A., Bar-at-Law.,
22. " P.M. Basappa,
23. " V.A. Krishnamurthi Mudaliar, M.A.,
24. " M.C. Chicknanjundappa.

ABSENT.

1. Abdur Rahman, B.A.,
2. Ramachandra Rao, Sirdar,
3. Rev. [illegible] Thorp, B.A.,
4. Mr. [illegible] Royan,
5. Mr. P. Murugesam Pillay.

Subject No. 20. To consider the letter No. 598/LAD dated 15th February 1926, from the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, asking for the refund of a moiety of conveyance allowance drawn by the Overseer and Sub Overseers of the City Improvement Division, on the ground that it is not allowed under the orders of Government.

Resolution.:--- (a) Resolved that the Government be moved to sanction the continuance of the conveyance allowances of --- Rs 15/- hitherto drawn by the Sub Overseers of the City Improvement Works, notwithstanding the fact that they are maintaining --only cycles, having regard to the fact that the work in the City is of an arduous nature and requires constant moving about and also to the circumstance that it is not possible to maintain any other kind of conveyance on an allowance of Rs 15/-. Should there be any technical objection to this procedure, the allowance need

not

not be called conveyance allowance but granted only as allowance.

(b) Resolved further that the case of the Municipal Sub seers who also draw a conveyance allowance at a different rate separately investigated so as to remove the inequalities in to the grant of such allowance.

Sd. Mohamed Abbas Khan,
Honorary President.

"C o p y."

M. 4. 28
For Municipal Commissioner.

SM

G.R.S. VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to..... cc 491

Section R
File No. 29-25
Serial No. 76

General and Revenue Secretariat
(G.S.S.)
, the 193

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue.... 21/6/26

Signed by..... Mr. T.K.J.

Read— Letter No. 160 G dt. 23d/26th April 1926 from the Asst. Comr., Bangalore stating that being the auditor of the accounts of the City Improvement Trust Board, Bangalore he finds that the officers of the Department of the Surveyor and the Sub-overseer class of the City Improvement Section, drawing an allowance of Rs. 30 and Rs. 15/- respectively on their ~~Order Placeon No.~~ furnishing a certificate of the maintenance of a bicycle only, as the Municipal Department pay bills, while the maximum allowance admissible in such cases is only 27-8-0 under Appendix "Q" of K.S.R. ; stating

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

requesting [illegible]

sanction to the withdrawal of the Rural Council recommending the continuance of the conveyance allowance of Rs 15/- sanctioned by the Sub-conservator of the City Improvement Trust, pending the decision of the question whether the work in the City is of an ordinary nature and requires constant running about and also to the circumstance that it is not possible to maintain any other kind of conveyance on an allowance of Rs 15/- and stating that should there be any technical objection to this procedure, the allowance need not be called a conveyance allowance but granted only as allowance.

List of enclosures.

In the circumstances stated, as a Special case

[illegible] sanction to the recommendation of the Rural Council to the grant of a conveyance allowance of Rs 15/- to the Sub-conservator of the City Improvement Trust, employed

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1888—GPB—1,000,00—01-12-36

2. The objection of the Rural Sanitary Commissioner to the payment of the allowance to the Sub-conservator is that that

[illegible] a vehicle in Cart in such a

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

WD 529-272—1,000—6/19